

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>k h.

<ADDRESS1>

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>Green Bay

<STATE>WI

<ZIP>54311

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>contestsonly@hotmail.com

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my <a style='text-decoration: none; border-bottom: 3px double;' href="http://www.serverlogic3.com/lm/rtl3.asp?si=24&k=wireless%20phone" onmouseover="window.status='wireless phone'; return true;" onmouseout="window.status=''; return true;">wireless phone</a> for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee. The more taxes, the more costly it is. The more costly it is, the more poor you make you average middle-class citizen. If I am more poor, then I cannot afford the coverage at all and would not pay the tax anyway. If at all, you would make less money on this than you would if you kept the rates as they are now.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

k h.

222 he nis ra ln.

Green Bay, Wisconsin 54311-5433